

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/665,336	Applicant(s) SCHNEIDER ET AL.	
	Examiner Leslie A. Royds	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Leslie A. Royds.

(3) _____.

(2) Melissa Acosta.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2006

Time: PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
None.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative confirmed that a response to the Office Action of 04 November 2005 has not been filed because the Inventors do not wish to pursue prosecution of the present application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)